to strong ochraceous and has the tail deeply fringed with white at all seasons.

True Sciurus douglasi, the nearest relative of S. orarius, was perfectly well known to the distinguished authors of the Quadrupeds of North America, having been, in fact, first described by Bachman himself, but they make no mention of their Sciurus mollipilosus being at all like it, comparing the latter with the eastern Chickaree, S. hudsonicus,

At the time I wrote my description it seemed to me so perfectly evident that S. mollipilosus was a member of the hudsonicus and not the douglasi group that I did not mention that species though I carefully compared specimens of the new form with the descriptions and the plate. Going over the ground again, even more carefully, I am still emphatically of the same opinion.

As to the origin of the specimens (there were said to be specimens) upon which the name *Sciurus mollipilosus* was based—the only ground Dr. Allen gives for using the name to supplant my *S. orarius*—a word is sufficient. When Audubon and Bachman knew the exact source of their material they always stated it in detail, and such, in those days, little-known and indefinate regions as "Northern parts of California" and "Northern part of California near the Pacific Ocean" means merely northwest coast of North America, and is analogous to "that part of California that adjoins Mexico" of the same authors.

To sum up: In my opinion Sciurus mollipilosus Audu bon and Bachman may have been based on the animal now called Sciurus hudsonicus vancouverensis Allen, with which the plate and descriptions agree very closely, or possibly it may have been Sciurus hudsonicus streatori, but whatever it was, it was a member of the hudsonicus group and has nothing whatever to do with the Redwood Chickaree which belongs to the douglasi group, and should be known as Sciurus (Tamiasciurus) douglasi orarius (Bangs).—Outram Bangs.

## A new name for the Dinosaur Haplocanthus Hatcher.

Dr. C. R. Eastman has very courteously called my attention to the fact that the generic name *Haplocanthus* recently proposed by me for a new Sauropod dinosaur from the Jurassic deposits near Canyon City, Colorado,\* is essentially preoccupied, Agassiz having employed the name *Haplacanthus* for a genus of fishes. I would therefore propose the name *Haplocanthosaurus* for this genus of dinosaurs with simple median spines on the anterior dorsals and posterior cervicles.—*J. B. Hatcher*.

<sup>\*</sup>Proc. Biol. Soc., Washington, XVI, pp. 1-2, February 21, 1903.